

# Reply Exhibit G

1 phosphorus loads, look at the observed phosphorus  
2 going into the landscape, the coefficient is about  
3 2.8 percent. You know, GLEAMS is reproducing  
4 results that are in that same range. So without  
5 doing that long drawn-out calculation, it's of the  
6 same magnitude.

03:38PM

7 Q Did you respond to this E-mail from Mr. Page?

8 A I believe we did talk about this but, again, I  
9 didn't do the calculation you are describing.

10 Q Did you send him an E-mail in response?

03:39PM

11 A I don't recall if I did or didn't.

12 Q Last question, Dr. Engel, for me, and then I'm  
13 going to hand you off to somebody else. Have you  
14 submitted for publication and peer review anything  
15 related to your work in this lawsuit?

03:39PM

16 A I have not.

17 Q You're not listed to your knowledge, Dr.  
18 Engel, as even a co-author on any paper that has  
19 been submitted to a scientific journal for  
20 publication that relates to your work in this case?

03:39PM

21 A I've edited -- I believe I've seen two papers  
22 that I've provided suggested edits and comments on.

23 Q Who are the primary authors on those papers?

24 A Oh, I believe Roger Olsen is leading one of  
25 those. I believe Dr. Tim Cox is leading the second

03:40PM

1 of those or Dr. Cox and Dr. Olsen maybe together on  
2 the second of those, and there are probably other  
3 people that they consulted with that may be authors  
4 potentially on those.

5 Q Do you have an understanding as to whether, 03:40PM  
6 given your consultation on those papers, if you will  
7 be listed as a co-author?

8 A I believe -- so in answering your question,  
9 just to make this clear, I don't know the status of  
10 those papers. So, you know, if they've been 03:40PM  
11 submitted -- they've not been submitted to my  
12 knowledge.

13 Q Can you answer the question as to whether you  
14 are intended to be a co-author on either of those  
15 papers? 03:41PM

16 A That's my expectation.

17 Q Okay. What journals have been discussed for  
18 which those papers would be submitted?

19 A I don't know if we talked about specific  
20 journals on those or not. I think there have been 03:41PM  
21 maybe some discussion about what would the potential  
22 journals be, but I'm not sure those have been  
23 identified.

24 Q What journals have been discussed as  
25 potentials? 03:41PM

**TULSA FREELANCE REPORTERS**  
**918-587-2878**

1       **A**       Wow. Without looking back at -- you know,  
2       that was probably a phone conversation, and I don't  
3       recall, you know, which one. So I think, you know,  
4       Dr. Cox was exploring -- I think the charge to Dr.  
5       Cox was to look at a group of potential journals and                      03:41PM  
6       come back, and I'm not sure that I've seen a  
7       response from him regarding that request.

8       **Q**       Dr. Engel, do you have a copy of the articles  
9       that you marked up and edited?

10      **A**       Probably do.    03:42PM

11      **Q**       Why were they not produced in your considered  
12      materials?

13      **A**       I believe that happened in December I believe.

14               MR. GEORGE: I'm going to call for the  
15      production of those, Mr. Garren. We have                      03:42PM  
16      outstanding discovery on that subject, and they  
17      should have been produced already. Let's take a  
18      break.

19               VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now off the Record.  
20      The time is 3:42 p.m.    03:42PM

21               (Following a short recess at 3:42 p.m.,  
22      proceedings continued on the Record at 4:07 p.m.)

23               VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the Record.  
24      The time is 4:07 p.m.

25               MR. GEORGE: One matter for the Record                      04:07PM